ORIGINA



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMUNICATION COMMISSION 1 2 **COMMISSIONERS** 7007 FEB 15 A 11: 45 3 JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman

DOCKETED

FEB 15 2007

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL AZ CORP COMMISSION MIKE GLEASON DOCUMENT CONTROL KRISTIN K. MAYES

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS SUN CITY WASTEWATER AND SUN CITY WEST

DOCKET NO. WS-01303A-06-0491

<u>AMENDED RATE CASE</u>

BY THE COMMISSION:

WASTEWATER DISTRICTS.

On July 28, 2006, Arizona-American Water Company ("Arizona-American" or "Company") filed an application with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") for an increase in its rates for utility service by its Sun City Wastewater and Sun City West Wastewater Districts.

On August 28, 2006, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") filed a Letter of Conditional Sufficiency classifying the Company as a Class A utility and indicating that Arizona-American's application met the sufficiency requirements outlined in A.A.C. R14-2-103. The letter stated that the sufficiency finding was conditioned upon the Company filing volumetric billing determinants that reconcile the proposed volumetric rates to the proposed revenue no later than October 11, 2006, and that the Company and Staff stipulated that if the Company did not file the billing determinants by that date, the timeclock in this matter would be immediately suspended until the information was filed.

On October 5, 2006, a Rate Case Procedural Order was issued to govern the preparation and conduct of this proceeding, and a corrected Rate Case Procedural Order was issued on October 17, 2006.

On October 24, 2006, the Company and Staff filed a Stipulation in this docket. Stipulation stated that the Company had not yet provided to Staff the volumetric billing determinants

11

12

13

10

4

5

6

7

8

GARY PIERCE

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

that reconcile the proposed volumetric rates to the proposed revenue. Staff and the Company jointly requested the issuance of a Procedural Order suspending the timeclock in this proceeding retroactive to October 11, 2006, until further notice. The Stipulation stated that the Company and Staff agreed that the procedural schedule be adjusted by a commensurate time period once Staff notifies the Commission that the information has been obtained from the Company and that it satisfactorily addressed Staff's needs.

By Procedural Order issued October 30, 2006, the timeclock in this proceeding was suspended, as stipulated to by the Company and Staff, retroactive to October 11, 2006, or 44 days after it commenced. The Procedural Order further provided that the timeclock in this proceeding would recommence effective on the date the Company filed the volumetric billing determinants that reconcile the proposed volumetric rates to the proposed revenue, and ordered the Company and Staff to make a filing in this docket informing the Commission of the date on which the volumetric billing determinants that reconcile the proposed volumetric rates to the proposed revenues were filed.

On January 5, 2007, the Company filed a Notice of Filing Revised G and H Schedules and Request for Procedural Order. Therein, the Company requested the issuance of a Procedural Oder that adjusts the dates and deadlines in the original schedule by not more than the number of days in the time period from October 11, 2006 to January 5, 2007, or 87 days.

On February 2, 2007, Staff filed a Letter of Sufficiency. The letter indicated that with the supplemental direct testimony and revised schedules filed by the Company on January 29, 2007, Arizona-American's application had met the sufficiency requirements outlined in A.A.C. R14-2-103. Also on February 2, 2007, Staff filed a Motion for Procedural Order to Restart the Timeclock. Staff requested that the timeclock be restarted effective February 2, 2007.

Pursuant to the Procedural Order issued October 30, 2006, the timeclock in this matter should recommence effective January 29, 2007. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-101, the Commission now issues this Procedural Order to govern the preparation and conduct of this proceeding.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the timeclock in this matter shall recommence effective January 29, 2007.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing in the above-captioned matter currently set to commence on May 1, 2007, at 10:00 a.m., is hereby continued to August 23, 2007 at 10:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as is practical, at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street, Arizona 85007.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the **pre-hearing conference** currently scheduled for **April 27, 2007**, is hereby **continued** to **August 17, 2007** at **2:00 p.m.**, or as soon thereafter as is practical, at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street, Arizona 85007, for the purpose of scheduling witnesses and the conduct of the hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall bring to the pre-hearing conference a matrix listing issues remaining to be resolved with a brief description of the parties' positions on the unresolved issues.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff shall file direct testimony and associated exhibits to be presented at hearing on its behalf on or before **June 13, 2007**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that direct testimony and associated exhibits to be presented at hearing on behalf of intervenors shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before **June 13, 2007**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that rebuttal testimony and associated exhibits to be presented at hearing by the Company shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before **July 9, 2007**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that surrebuttal testimony and associated exhibits to be presented by Staff or intervenors shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before **July 31, 2007**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that rejoinder testimony and associated exhibits to be presented at the hearing on behalf of the Company shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before **August 13**, **2007**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all filings shall be made by 4:00 p.m. on the date the filing is due, unless otherwise indicated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any objections to any testimony or exhibits which have been prefiled as of **August 13, 2007**, shall be made before or at the **August 17, 2007**, pre-hearing conference.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all testimony filed shall include a table of contents listing the issues discussed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any substantive corrections, revisions, or supplements to pre-filed testimony shall be reduced to writing and filed no later than five days before the witness is scheduled to testify.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall prepare a brief, written summary of the pre-filed testimony of each of their witnesses and shall file each summary at least two working days before the witness is scheduled to testify.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that copies of summaries shall be served upon the Administrative Law Judge, the Commissioners, the Commissioners' aides, and the parties of record.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **intervention** shall be in accordance with A.A.C. R14-3-105, except that all motions to intervene must be filed on or before **May 15, 2007**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that discovery shall be as permitted by law and the rules and regulations of the Commission, except that: until **July 9, 2007**, any objection to discovery requests shall be made within 7 days¹ of receipt and responses to discovery requests shall be made within 10 days of receipt; thereafter objections to discovery requests shall be made within 5 days and responses shall be made within 7 days of receipt. The response time may be extended by mutual agreement of the parties involved if the request requires an extensive compilation effort. No discovery requests shall be served after **August 10, 2007**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that discovery requests, objections, and answers may be served electronically.²

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in the alternative to filing a written motion to compel discovery, any party seeking discovery may telephonically contact the Commission's Hearing Division to request a date for a procedural hearing to resolve the discovery dispute; that upon such a request, a procedural hearing will be convened as soon as practicable; and that the party making such

¹ "Days" means calendar days. The date of receipt of discovery requests is not counted as a day, and requests received after 4:00 p.m. MST will be considered as received the next business day.

If requested by the receiving party, and the sending party has the technical capability, service electronically is mandatory.

]

3

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

a request shall contact all other parties to advise them of the hearing date and shall at the procedural hearing provide a statement confirming that the other parties were contacted.³

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any motions filed in this matter that are not ruled upon by the Commission within 20 days of the filing date of the motion shall be deemed denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any responses to motions shall be filed within five days of the filing date of the motion.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any replies shall be filed within five days of the filing date of the response.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Company shall provide public notice of the hearing in this matter, in the following form and style, with the heading in no less than 12 point bold type and the body in no less than 10 point regular type:

PUBLIC NOTICE OF HEARING ON THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY FOR FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS SUN CITY WASTEWATER AND SUN CITY WEST WASTEWATER DISTRICTS DOCKET NO. WS-01303A-06-0491

On July 28, 2006, Arizona-American Water Company ("Company") filed an application with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") for an increase in operating income for its Sun City Wastewater District of approximately 35.84 percent (\$1,606,636), and for its Sun City West Wastewater District of approximately 51.50 percent (\$2,337,140). Under the Company's volumetric rate design proposal, a typical residential customer's bill for wastewater service in the Sun City Wastewater District would increase from the current rate of \$10.98 per month to approximately \$15.01 per month (approximately 36.7 percent), depending on usage, and in the Sun City West Wastewater District would increase from the current rate of \$20.56 per month to approximately \$33.60 per month (approximately 63.42 percent), depending on usage. The Commission's Utilities Division Staff has not yet made a recommendation regarding the Company's rate increase proposal, and the Commission will determine the appropriate rate relief to be granted based on the evidence of record in this proceeding. The Commission is not bound by the proposals made by the Company, Staff, or any intervenors and, therefore, the final rates approved in this docket may be lower or higher than the rates described above. Copies of the application and proposed tariffs are available at the Company's offices [insert address] and on the internet via the Commission website (www.azcc.gov) using the e-docket function.

The parties are encouraged to attempt to settle discovery disputes through informal, good-faith negotiations before seeking Commission resolution of the controversy.

The Commission will hold a hearing on this matter beginning August 23, 2007, at 10:00 a.m., at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona. Public comments will be taken on the first day of the hearing.

The law provides for an open public hearing at which, under appropriate circumstances, interested parties may intervene. Intervention shall be permitted to any person entitled by law to intervene and having a direct and substantial interest in the matter. If you desire to intervene, you must file a written motion to intervene with the Commission no later than May 15, 2007. You must send a copy of the motion to intervene to the Company or its counsel and to all parties of record. Your motion to intervene must contain the following:

- 1. Your name, address, and telephone number and the name, address and telephone number of any party upon whom service of documents is to be made if not yourself.
- 2. A short statement of your interest in the proceeding (e.g., a customer of the Company, a shareholder of the Company, etc.).
- 3. A statement certifying that you have mailed a copy of the motion to intervene to the Company or its counsel and to all parties of record in the case.

The granting of intervention, among other things, entitles a party to present sworn evidence at the hearing and to cross-examine other witnesses. However, <u>failure to intervene will not preclude any interested person or entity from appearing at the hearing and providing public comment on the application or from filing written comments in the record of the case.</u> You will not receive any further notice of this proceeding unless you request it.

If you have any questions about this application, wish to file written comments on the application, or want further information on intervention, you may contact the Consumer Services Section of the Commission at 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, or call 1-800-222-7000.

The Commission does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admission to its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language interpreter, as well as request this document in an alternative format, by contacting the ADA Coordinator, Linda Hogan, at LHogan@azcc.gov., voice phone number 602/542-3931. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Company shall mail to each of its customers a copy of the above notice as a bill insert beginning with the first billing cycle in March, 2007, and shall cause the above notice to be published at least once in a newspaper of general circulation in the affected service territories, with publication to be completed no later than March 15, 2007.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Company shall file certification of mailing/publication as soon as practical after the mailing/publication has been completed.

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that notice shall be deemed complete upon mailing/publication
2	of same, notwithstanding the failure of an individual customer to read or receive the notice.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time periods specified herein shall not be extended
4	pursuant to Rule 6(a) or (e) of the Rules of Civil Procedure.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 - Unauthorized
6	Communications) applies to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the Commission's
7	Decision in this matter is final and non-appeable.
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Rule 33(c) and 38(a) of the
9	Rules of the Arizona Supreme Court with respect to practice of law and admission pro hac vice.
10	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance
11	with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (under Rule 42 of the
12	Rules of Arizona Supreme Court). Representation before the Commission includes the obligation to
13	appear at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter
14	is scheduled for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by
15	the Administrative Law Judge or the Commission.
16	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Officer may rescind, alter, amend, or waive
17	any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.
18	Dated this
19	
20	
21	TEENA WOLFE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
22	
23	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this/5/k day of February, 2007 to:
24	Craig A. Marks
25	ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY 3420 F. Shoo Plyd., Sto. 200
26	3420 E. Shea Blvd., Ste. 200 Phoenix, AZ 85028
27	

1	Scott S. Wakefield, Chief Counsel
2	Residential Utility Consumer Office 1110 West Washington, Suite 220 Phoenix, AZ 85007
3	
4	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel Legal Division
5	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSI 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
6	1 hochix, AZ 85007
7	Ernest G. Johnson, Director Utilities Division
8	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington
9	Phoenix, AZ 85007
10	ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 2627 N. Third Street, Ste. Three Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1126
11	Thochia, Arizona 85004-1120
12	By: Climo Kathisian
13	By: Diane Rodriguez Secretary to Teena Wolfe
14	Secretary to reena wone
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	